| Case | e 2:17-cv-09288-GW-GJS D | ocument 1 | Filed 12/29/17 | Page 1 of 12 | Page ID #:1 |
|--|--|---|----------------|--------------|-------------|
| Case 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | DANIEL J. SCHACHT, # dschacht@donahue.com ANDREW S. MACKAY, amackay@donahue.com JONATHAN MCNEIL W jmwong@donahue.com DANIEL H. SENTER, #2 dsenter@donahue.comd DONAHUE FITZGERAI Attorneys at Law 1999 Harrison Street 25th Oakland, California 94612 Telephone: (510) 451-33 Facsimile: (510) 451-15 | 259717 #197074 /ONG, #11 271626 LD LLP Floor 2-3520 | | Page 1 of 12 | Page ID #:1 |
| 9 | WIXEN MUSIC PUBLIS | HING, ING | 2. | | |
| 10 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | | |
| 11 | CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | | | |
| 12 | WESTERN DIVISION | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | |
| 15 | WIXEN MUSIC PUBLIS | HING, | Case No. | | |
| 16 | INC., | | | AINT FOR CO | OPYRIGHT |
| 17 | Plaintiff, | | INFRING | | IDED |
| 18 | | | JURY TR | RIAL DEMAN | NDED |
| 19 | SPOTIFY USA INC., | | | | |
| 20 | Defendan | t. | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | |
| | | (| COMPLAINT | | CASE NO. |

3

1

2

NATURE OF THE ACTION

alleges against defendant Spotify USA Inc. ("Defendant" or "Spotify") as follows:

Plaintiff Wixen Music Publishing, Inc. ("Wixen") hereby complains and

4 1. Wixen brings this action against Spotify for willfully infringing the 5 copyrights in the musical compositions listed in Exhibit A (each a "Work" and 6 collectively the "Works"). Wixen is an independent music publisher and exclusive 7 licensee of the Works, all of which have either been registered or are pending 8 registration with the United States Copyright Office. Spotify is the world's most 9 dominant music streaming and limited downloading service. As Spotify has 10 publicly admitted, and as recent lawsuits and settlements confirm, Spotify has 11 repeatedly failed to obtain necessary statutory, or "mechanical," licenses to 12 reproduce and/or distribute musical compositions on its service. Consequently, 13 while Spotify has become a multibillion dollar company, songwriters and their 14 publishers, such as Wixen, have not been able to fairly and rightfully share in 15 Spotify's success, as Spotify has in many cases used their music without a license 16 and without compensation.

A recent proposed settlement involving rights holders and Spotify in
the class action lawsuit *Ferrick et al. v. Spotify USA Inc. et al.*, No. 1:16-cv-8412
(AJN) (S.D.N.Y.) (Dkt. # 167-3 ("**Proposed Settlement**")) does not adequately
compensate Wixen or the songwriters it represents. Wixen has and, to the extent not
yet effected, will opt out the Works from the Proposed Settlement.

22

PARTIES

Wixen is a California corporation with its principal place of business at
 Wixen is a California corporation with its principal place of business at
 24025 Park Sorrento, Suite 130, Calabasas, CA 91302. Each of the compositions
 identified in Exhibit A have either been registered with the United States Copyright
 Office or an application for copyright registration has been filed with the United
 States Copyright Office. Wixen has standing to bring this action for copyright
 infringement because it is the exclusive licensee of the Works. Wixen has the

COMPLAINT -1exclusive rights to sign agreements, collect royalties, receive monies, issue licenses,
 pay royalties, register copyrights, and otherwise interact and assert rights on behalf
 of each songwriter with or against publishing companies, performing and/or
 mechanical rights societies, and musical service companies such as Spotify.

4. Defendant Spotify USA Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its
principal place of business at 45 W. 18th Street, 7th Floor, New York, New York
10011. Spotify maintains a corporate office in Los Angeles, California, located at
9200 Sunset Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90069.

9

JURISDICTION

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction of this action under 28 U.S.C.
§§ 1331 and 1338 because the claims herein arise under federal copyright law (17
U.S.C. § 101, *et seq.*) (the "Copyright Act").

6. Spotify is subject to this Court's personal jurisdiction because Spotify
has continuous and systematic contacts within the Central District of California,
which include, without limitation:

16 (a) Spotify is qualified to do business in California and is registered
17 as a foreign corporation with the California Secretary of State.

(b) Spotify has a designated agent for service of process in Los
Angeles: National Registered Agents, Inc., with an address of 818 W. Seventh St.,
Ste 930, Los Angeles, CA 90017.

21 (c) Spotify maintains a strong presence in California, including two
22 corporate offices – one in San Francisco and one in Los Angeles – where it employs
23 California residents.

(d) Spotify actively does business in California, as evidenced by its
(i) subscribers and users in California, which Spotify actively reaches out to
through, at a minimum, its website (www.spotify.com); (ii) contracts and other
transactions that it has entered in California; (iii) revenue generated from California
residents and businesses in connection with its service; and (iv) advertisements that

1 target California residents, including those in Los Angeles.

2 Spotify has purposefully availed itself of California law and (e) 3 could and did reasonably anticipate being brought into this Court because, among 4 other reasons, Spotify (i) has knowingly, intentionally, and repeatedly reproduced 5 and/or distributed the Works over the Internet to California residents via its services; 6 (ii) knew or should have known that the harm caused by its repeated unlicensed 7 reproduction and distribution of the Works over the Internet was aimed at 8 songwriters and music publishers, including Wixen and the songwriters it 9 represents, who control compositions and reside in or near Los Angeles County, 10 California, a global hub of the music industry; and (iii) knew or should have known 11 that Wixen, an industry leading music publisher for nearly 40 years, would suffer, 12 and in fact did suffer, the brunt of the harm caused by Spotify's unauthorized acts at 13 Wixen's principal place of business in Calabasas, Los Angeles County, California, 14 which is in the Western Division of the Central District of California.

15

Spotify's "Terms and Conditions of Use" Agreement, effective (f) as of July 6, 2017, specifies California as the choice of law for all disputes with its 16 17 users in the United States and specifies that jurisdiction for any dispute, claim, or 18 controversy is the Northern District of California.

19 The "Spotify Developer Terms of Use" Agreement, effective as (g) 20 of November 22, 2017, specifies California as the choice of law for all disputes 21 with its third-party developers and requires any dispute, claim, or controversy to be 22 resolved in state or federal courts in San Francisco County, California.

23 (h) In 2013, in an attempt to invoke the provisions of the Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) for the removal of an action filed against it in 24 25 California, Spotify relied on its extensive contacts with California and its residents, 26 including a declaration that those residents in 2013 had spent more than \$15 million 27 for subscriptions to Spotify's paid Premium service. Bleak v. Spotify USA, Inc., 28 Case No. CV 13-5653-CRB (N.D. Cal. 2013), Dkt. 2 at ¶ 7 (Declaration of Göan

1 Sander, analyst in Spotify's Analytics teams).

(i) Spotify has sought to transfer cases to California on the grounds
that it is a more convenient forum. *See, e.g., iMTX Strategic, LLC, v. Spotify USA, Inc.*, Case No. CV 1:14-325-GMS (D. Del. 2014) Dkt. 12 (Spotify's motion to
transfer venue to the Northern District of California).

(j) Spotify previously admitted in other federal filings that personal
jurisdiction is proper in California. *See, e.g., PacketVideo Corp v. Spotify USA Inc., et. al*, Case No. CV 11-1659-IEG-WMC (S.D. Cal. 2011) Dkt. 14 (Spotify's
Answer) at ¶ 9 ("Defendants do not dispute that this Court has personal jurisdiction
over Spotify USA, Inc. and Spotify Limited.").

11

VENUE

7. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and
1400(a) because Spotify is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District and
because a substantial part of the acts of infringement complained of herein occurs
or has occurred in this District.

16 8. This case is properly filed in the Western Division, as a substantial part
17 of events giving rise to this case occurred in the Western Division.

18

FACTS

9. Wixen is an independent music publisher that was formed in 1978 by
 Randall Wixen. Wixen administers more than 50,000 songs written and/or owned
 by its more than 2,000 clients, including songs by some of the most popular and
 acclaimed musical artists of the last 100 years.

10. Wixen is the exclusive licensee of thousands of musical compositions,
the Works. Wixen administers these compositions for its clients, with the goal of
enhancing the value of the compositions through licensing while simultaneously
preserving their integrity. Wixen has the exclusive right to conduct all
administration activities with respect to these musical compositions, including
registering them with performing rights organizations, filing copyright applications

1 with the United States Copyright Office, negotiating and issuing licenses (including mechanical licenses), collecting royalties, and filing lawsuits for copyright 2 3 infringement.

4 11. Spotify is principally accessed at https://www.spotify.com/us/ and via 5 its app. It offers interactive music streaming and/or limited music downloading via 6 a free ad-supported option and various paid, ad-free options (collectively, the 7 "Service").

8

12. The Works exclusively licensed to Wixen have been streamed and/or 9 downloaded billions of times through Spotify's Service.

10 Spotify launched in the United States on or about July 14, 2011, and 13. 11 since that time has grown to over 140 million active users, 60 million subscribers, 12 obtained over \$1 billion in private equity, and achieved a valuation in excess of \$8 13 billion. In 2016 alone, Spotify brought in just under \$3.3 billion in revenue. Spotify 14 achieved this growth through amassing a vast music library of over 30 million 15 popular songs from all genres and types of artists. However, Spotify took a short 16 cut. In 2011, Spotify faced a choice to either obtain all the required rights to the 17 songs and significantly delay its U.S. launch, or move forward without proper 18 licenses and face the legal ramifications later. Prior to launch, Spotify struck deals 19 with major record labels to obtain the necessary rights to the sound recording 20 copyrights in the songs by offering the major labels, in many cases, equity stake in Spotify. But Spotify failed to properly obtain the equivalent rights for the 21 22 compositions. As a result, Spotify has built a billion dollar business on the backs of 23 songwriters and publishers whose music Spotify is using, in many cases without 24 obtaining and paying for the necessary licenses.

25 14. Under the Copyright Act, there are two separate copyrights in every 26 recorded song: one in the sound recording (i.e. the recorded sound or "master 27 recording"), and one in the musical composition (i.e. the words and musical 28 notation). 17 U.S.C. § 102(2), (7).

1 15. To legally reproduce and/or distribute the songs on its Service, Spotify 2 must obtain both a license for the sound recording and for the musical composition. 3 In Spotify's 2014 comments before the United States Copyright Office, it 4 acknowledged that these licenses are required: "To operate the Spotify Service, Spotify needs to secure multiple rights from multiple copyright owners. These 5 6 rights include, among others, the right to reproduce sound recordings and the 7 musical works embodied therein, the right to distribute sound recordings and the musical works embodied therein" Comments of Spotify USA Inc., to USCO 8 9 (May 23, 2014), at https://www.copyright.gov/policy/musiclicensingstudy/ 10 comments/Docket2014 3/Spotify USA Inc MLS 2014.pdf (last accessed Dec. 27, 11 2017).

12 16. The sound recording license generates revenue for the owner of the
13 sound recording, which is typically an artist's record label. The license to reproduce
14 and distribute the musical composition (the "mechanical license") generates
15 revenue for the composition owner(s), which are typically songwriters and their
16 music publishers.

17 17. To obtain the necessary mechanical licenses, Spotify could have either
18 directly negotiated with Wixen or sought compulsory licenses under 17 U.S.C.
19 §115.

20 18. In order to obtain a compulsory license, a licensee, such as Spotify, is 21 required to send a notice of intent to use a musical composition ("NOI") to a 22 copyright owner "before or within thirty days after making, and before distributing 23 any phonorecords of the work." 17 U.S.C. §115(b)(1). If the name and address of 24 the copyright owner is not known, the licensee is required to file the NOI in the 25 Copyright Office. The failure to timely file or serve an NOI "forecloses the 26 possibility of a compulsory license and, in the absence of a negotiated license, 27 renders the making and distribution of phonorecords actionable as acts of 28 infringement." 17 U.S.C. §115(b)(2).

1 19. Prior to launching in the United States, Spotify attempted to license 2 sound recordings by working with record labels but, in a race to be first to market, 3 made insufficient efforts to collect the required musical composition information 4 and, in turn, failed in many cases to license the compositions embodied within each 5 recording or comply with the requirements of Section 115 of the Copyright Act.

- 20.
- 9

6

7

8

Either a direct license from Wixen or a compulsory license would have permitted Spotify to reproduce and/or distribute the Works as part of the Service, including by means of digital phonorecord deliveries ("DPDs"), interactive streaming, and limited downloads. But Spotify failed to obtain either license type.

Instead, upon information and belief, Spotify outsourced its 10 21. 11 responsibility to a third party, the Harry Fox Agency ("HFA"). HFA is a provider 12 of licensing and royalty services, and it was ill-equipped to obtain all the necessary 13 mechanical licenses. Upon information and belief, Spotify knew that HFA did not 14 possess the infrastructure to obtain the required mechanical licenses and Spotify 15 knew it lacked these licenses.

Accordingly, Spotify made, and continues to make, musical 16 22. 17 compositions live for streaming and/or limited downloading, including the Works, 18 without identifying composition rights holders and without obtaining the required 19 mechanical license. As the exclusive licensee of the Works, Wixen is entitled to full 20 statutory relief pursuant to the United States Copyright Act.

21 23. Other publishers, songwriters, and associations have brought claims 22 against Spotify for its massive, systemic copyright infringement. On June 27, 2017, 23 United States District Judge Alison J Nathan, preliminarily approved the Proposed 24 Settlement in the case Ferrick et al. v. Spotify USA Inc. et al., No. 1:16-cv-8412 25 (AJN) (S.D.N.Y.) (Dkt. 177 (AJN Order)) for approximately \$43 million. The 26 intent of the Proposed Settlement is to compensate rights holders for Spotify's 27 infringing actions from December 28, 2012 through June 29, 2017. Unfortunately, 28 the *Ferrick* settlement is still grossly insufficient to compensate songwriters and publishers for Spotify's actions, as well as procedurally unjust.

1

| 2 | 24. Spotify has approximately 30 million songs in its catalogue. According | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 3 | to one report, Spotify failed to pay songwriter royalties to a publishing company | | | | |
| 4 | approximately 21% of the time. See Ethan Smith, Songwriters Lose Out on | | | | |
| 5 | Royalties, THE WALL STREET JOURNAL, Oct. 14, 2015. If that figure is used as an | | | | |
| 6 | estimate for the percentage of Spotify's unlicensed works, then Spotify infringed | | | | |
| 7 | approximately 6,300,000 compositions (i.e. 21% of 30 million songs), including the | | | | |
| 8 | Works. | | | | |
| 9 | 25. Spotify brazenly disregards United States Copyright law and has | | | | |
| 10 | committed willful, ongoing copyright infringement. Wixen notified Spotify that it | | | | |
| 11 | had neither obtained a direct or compulsory mechanical license for the use of the | | | | |
| 12 | Works. For these reasons and the foregoing, Wixen is entitled to the maximum | | | | |
| 13 | statutory relief. | | | | |
| 14 | WHEREFORE, Wixen seeks relief as set forth below. | | | | |
| 15 | FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | |
| | Direct Copyright Infringement in Violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106, 501 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | |
| 16 17 | Direct Copyright Infringement in Violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106, 501 | | | | |
| 16 17 18 | Direct Copyright Infringement in Violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106, 501 26. Wixen re-alleges and incorporates by reference all the preceding | | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 | Direct Copyright Infringement in Violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106, 501 26. Wixen re-alleges and incorporates by reference all the preceding paragraphs, as if fully set forth herein. | | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Direct Copyright Infringement in Violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106, 501 26. Wixen re-alleges and incorporates by reference all the preceding paragraphs, as if fully set forth herein. 27. Wixen is the exclusive licensee of the copyrights in the Works. | | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Direct Copyright Infringement in Violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106, 501 26. Wixen re-alleges and incorporates by reference all the preceding paragraphs, as if fully set forth herein. 27. Wixen is the exclusive licensee of the copyrights in the Works. 28. Under Section 106 of the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 106, Wixen has | | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 | Direct Copyright Infringement in Violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106, 501 26. Wixen re-alleges and incorporates by reference all the preceding paragraphs, as if fully set forth herein. 27. Wixen is the exclusive licensee of the copyrights in the Works. 28. Under Section 106 of the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 106, Wixen has the exclusive rights, among others, to reproduce and distribute the Works as well as | | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Direct Copyright Infringement in Violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106, 501 26. Wixen re-alleges and incorporates by reference all the preceding paragraphs, as if fully set forth herein. 27. Wixen is the exclusive licensee of the copyrights in the Works. 28. Under Section 106 of the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 106, Wixen has the exclusive rights, among others, to reproduce and distribute the Works as well as the right to authorize others to exercise any of these rights. | | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Direct Copyright Infringement in Violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106, 501 26. Wixen re-alleges and incorporates by reference all the preceding paragraphs, as if fully set forth herein. 27. Wixen is the exclusive licensee of the copyrights in the Works. 28. Under Section 106 of the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 106, Wixen has the exclusive rights, among others, to reproduce and distribute the Works as well as the right to authorize others to exercise any of these rights. 29. Spotify has infringed many of the exclusive rights set forth in 17 | | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | Direct Copyright Infringement in Violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106, 501 26. Wixen re-alleges and incorporates by reference all the preceding paragraphs, as if fully set forth herein. 27. Wixen is the exclusive licensee of the copyrights in the Works. 28. Under Section 106 of the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 106, Wixen has the exclusive rights, among others, to reproduce and distribute the Works as well as the right to authorize others to exercise any of these rights. 29. Spotify has infringed many of the exclusive rights set forth in 17 U.S.C. § 106. Among other things, Spotify has made unauthorized reproductions | | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 | Direct Copyright Infringement in Violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106, 501 26. Wixen re-alleges and incorporates by reference all the preceding paragraphs, as if fully set forth herein. 27. Wixen is the exclusive licensee of the copyrights in the Works. 28. Under Section 106 of the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 106, Wixen has the exclusive rights, among others, to reproduce and distribute the Works as well as the right to authorize others to exercise any of these rights. 29. Spotify has infringed many of the exclusive rights set forth in 17 U.S.C. § 106. Among other things, Spotify has made unauthorized reproductions and engaged in unauthorized distribution of the copyrighted musical compositions | | | | |

| and 501. |
|----------|
|----------|

30. Each of the Works is an original work fixed in a tangible medium of
expression, and constitutes separate, distinct copyrightable subject matter within the
meaning of Section 102 of the Copyright Act. Among other things, each stream of
the Works reproduced by Spotify and/or distributed to end-users constitutes a
separate and distinct act of infringement, for which Spotify is a direct infringer.

7 31. Spotify's conduct has at all times been willful, intentional, purposeful,
8 in disregard of and indifferent to the rights of Wixen and those of the artists it
9 represents.

- 32. As a direct and proximate result of Spotify's willful and infringing
 conduct, Wixen is entitled to actual damages, including the substantial profits of
 Spotify, as will be proven at trial, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(b),
- 33. In the alternative, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), Wixen is entitled to
 receive the maximum amount of statutory damages for willful copyright
 infringement, \$150,000 per composition, for each of the approximately 10,784
 musical compositions identified in Exhibit A hereto, for a total statutory award of at
 least \$1.6 billion.

18 34. Wixen is further entitled to recover its attorneys' fees and costs
19 pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505.

35. Spotify's acts, including its failure to develop and implement
procedures to properly license songs, have caused and will continue to cause
irreparable harm and injury to Wixen and the artists it represents, for which they
have no adequate remedy at law. Wixen is therefore entitled to an injunction
pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502 to prevent and restrain Spotify's ongoing copyright
infringement, including ordering Spotify to develop and implement procedures to
comply with the requirements of Section 115 of the Copyright Act.

- 27
- 28

| Case | 2:17-cv-09288-GW-GJS Document 1 Filed 12/29/17 Page 11 of 12 Page ID #:11 | | | | | | |
|------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| 1 | PRAYER FOR RELIEF | | | | | | |
| 2 | WHEREFORE, Wixen prays for relief against Spotify, as follows: | | | | | | |
| 3 | 1. An award of damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(b), including actual | | | | | | |
| 4 | damages, inclusive of the injury to the market value of their copyright in the Works | | | | | | |
| 5 | and the profits of Spotify as will be proven at trial, or, in the alternative, the | | | | | | |
| 6 | maximum amount of statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), namely | | | | | | |
| 7 | \$150,000 for each Work infringed, for an award of at least \$1.6 billion. | | | | | | |
| 8 | 2. Injunctive and/or declaratory relief as is necessary to protect the | | | | | | |
| 9 | interests of Wixen pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502, including requiring Spotify to | | | | | | |
| 10 | (a) develop and implement procedures for identifying and properly licensing songs | | | | | | |
| 11 | and (b) pay for the services of a third party auditor to identify the owners of songs | | | | | | |
| 12 | reproduced and/or distributed by Spotify despite Spotify's failure to first obtain a | | | | | | |
| 13 | mechanical license prior to reproducing and/or distributing the Works | | | | | | |
| 14 | 3. Attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505 and under other | | | | | | |
| 15 | applicable law; | | | | | | |
| 16 | 4. Pre- and post-judgment interest to the extent allowable; and | | | | | | |
| 17 | 5. Such other and further relief that the Court may deem just and proper. | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | |
| 19 | Dated: December 29, 2017 DONAHUE FITZGERALD LLP | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | |
| 21 | By: /S/ Daniel J. Schacht Daniel J. Schacht | | | | | | |
| 22 | Attorneys for Plaintiff WIXEN MUSIC PUBLISHING, INC. | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | COMPLAINT CASE NO. | | | | | | |

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, plaintiff Wixen Music Publishing, Inc., demands a trial by jury of this action. Dated: December 29, 2017 DONAHUE FITZGERALD LLP By: /S/ Daniel J. Schacht Daniel J. Schacht Attorneys for Plaintiff WIXEN MUSIC PUBLISHING, INC.